arXiv:1203.6605v1 [math.AG] 29 Mar 2012

Constant polynomial Hessian determinants in dimension three

Michiel de Bondt*
Institute for Mathematics, Astrophisics and Particle Physics
Radboud University Nijmegen
Email address: M.deBondt@math.ru.nl

March 1, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we extend the following result for $n \leq 2$ by F. Dillen to $n \leq 3$: if f is a polynomial of degree larger than two in $n \leq 3$ variables such that the Hessian determinant is constant, then after a suitable linear transformation the Hessian matrix of f becomes zero below the anti-diagonal. The result does not hold for larger n.

The proof of the case $\det \mathcal{H}f \in K^*$ is based on the following result, which in turn is based on the already known case $\det \mathcal{H}f = 0$: if f is a polynomial in $n \leq 3$ variables such that $\det \mathcal{H}f \neq 0$, then after a suitable linear transformation, there exists a positive weight function w on the variables such that the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of f is nonzero. This result does not hold for larger n either, even if we allow any nontrivial w.

As a consequence, we prove that the Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps of Keller type in dimension $n \leq 3$, and that such gradient maps have linearly triangularizable and hence unipotent Jacobians in case their linear part is the identity map.

In the last section, we show that the Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps over the reals whose linear part is the identity map, by proving that corresponding Keller maps are translations. We do this by showing that this problem is equivalent to the main result of [Pog], which we generalize to arbitrary fields of characteristic zero in dimension $n \leq 3$.

Key words: Hessian determinant, Jacobian conjecture, polynomial, weighted degree, anisotropic quadratic form.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F20; 14R15; 15A63.

---

*The author was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).

1 Introduction

There are some very old papers devoted to the study of constant polynomial Hessian determinants in some manner. Perhaps the oldest is an article of Paul Gordan and Max Nöther about homogeneous polynomials with Hessian determinant zero [GN]. This is the only interesting case for homogeneous polynomials, because if a homogeneous polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ has a constant nonzero Hessian determinant, then h is a quadratic form, and basic linear algebra can subsequently be used to show that h is a polynomial in $n-1$ (or less) linear forms over $\mathbb{C}$. However, in [GN], the authors show that a similar result holds when $n \le 4$ and h is a homogeneous polynomial of any degree d whatsoever, and give counterexamples for all $n \ge 5$ and all $d \ge 3$. In [dBvdE1], A. van den Essen and the author classify all polynomials $h \in K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ with $n \le 3$, such that the Hessian determinant of h is zero, using techniques of [GN]. We shall use these results to prove ours.

In 1939 in [Kel], O. Keller formulated a question about constant nonzero Jacobian determinants, which is known as Keller's problem or the Jacobian conjecture. The Jacobian conjecture asserts that if F is a polynomial map over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\det \mathcal{J}F$ is a nonzero constant, then F is invertible. Since Hessians are Jacobians of gradient maps, we can ask ourselves whether the Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps. This was done in [vdEW], and not very long afterwards, it was shown that the Jacobian conjecture for gradient maps is equivalent to the Jacobian conjecture, in [dBvdE2] and independently also in [Men]. More precisely, the Jacobian conjecture in dimension n follows from the Jacobian conjecture for gradient maps in dimension $2n$. Hence the Jacobian conjecture is about constant polynomial Hessian determinants after all. We shall show that the Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps in dimension three.

Furthermore, we shall show that the Jacobian conjecture holds for real gradient maps in all dimensions, provided the linear part is equal to the identity map, using results that are described below. In 1954, K. Jörgens proved in [Jör] that functions from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which are twice continuously differentiable and whose Hessian determinant equals one on each point are in fact quadratic polynomials. Four years later, this result was extended to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$ by E. Calabi in [Cal], but with the extra condition that the Hessian matrix is positive definite everywhere. The polynomial

$$f = g(x_1 + x_3) - \tfrac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}x_2^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}x_3^2 + \cdots + \tfrac{1}{2}x_n^2$$

shows that an extra condition is required. An extension to arbitrary dimension was proved by A.V. Pogorelov in 1972 in [Pog], using a lemma of [Cal]. In section 4, we shall extend this result in dimensions $n \le 3$ by showing that a polynomial in at most three indeterminates whose Hessian determinant is constant is a quadratic polynomial in case its quadratic part does not vanish on K^n, where K is the base field of characteristic zero.

In 1991, F. Dillen classified all polynomials in two indeterminates over a field of characteristic zero with constant Hessian determinant in [Dil], and showed that the Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps in dimension two. The key point of Dillen's classification is the following: if the degree of the polynomial is larger than two, then after a suitable linear transformation, the lower right corner of the Hessian matrix becomes zero. Our main result in section 2 is a similar result in dimension three, namely that after a suitable linear transformation, every entry below the anti-diagonal becomes zero, provided the degree of the polynomial is larger than two. This result does not hold in dimensions larger than three and neither for quadratic polynomials over $\mathbb{R}$.

The linear transformation that is meant is a matrix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, and instead of looking at the Hessian of a polynomial $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ itself, we look at the Hessian of $f(Tx)$, where Tx can be seen as a matrix product of the matrix T and the vector x. Taking the Jacobian matrix, denoted by $\mathcal{J}$, of $f(Tx)$, we obtain by the chain rule that

$$\mathcal{J} f(Tx) = (\mathcal{J} f)|_{x=Tx} \cdot T$$

where $|_{x=g}$ stands for substituting x by g. Since the gradient vector, denoted by ∇, is the transpose of the Jacobian of a single polynomial, we obtain

$$\nabla f(Tx) = T^{\mathrm{t}} \cdot (\nabla f)|_{x=Tx} \tag{1}$$

where ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ stands for taking the transpose. Subsequently, we can take the Jacobian of (1), which is the Hessian, denoted by $\mathcal{H}$, of $f(Tx)$, and again by the chain rule, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H} f(Tx) = T^{\mathrm{t}} \cdot (\mathcal{H} f)|_{x=Tx} \cdot T \tag{2}$$

Now that we know how linear transformations work, we are able to see that Dillen's result cannot be extended to dimension four. Take for instance

$$f = (x_1 + x_2^2)x_3 + (x_2 + (x_1 + x_2^2)^2)x_4 \tag{3}$$

Then the cubic part of f is equal to $x_2^2 x_3 + x_1^2 x_4$, and the rows of its Hessian are independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, if we could obtain by way of a transformation that the Hessian of f became zero below the anti-diagonal, the lower left corner of the Hessian of f would become non-constant. This however contradicts that the Hessian determinant is a nonzero constant. The polynomial f in (3) was made by applying the gradient reduction of the Jacobian conjecture on the planar invertible map $F = (x_1 + x_2^2, x_2 + (x_1 + x_2^2)^2)$.

2 Results and proof of main result

First, we formulate our main result. At the end of this section, we will derive the main result from other result in this section.

Theorem 2.1 (Main result). *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and suppose that $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial of degree d, such that $\det \mathcal{H} f \in K$.*

i) If there exists a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that all entries below the anti-diagonal of the Hessian of $f(Tx)$ are zero, then ∇f satisfies the Jacobian conjecture.

ii) If $2 \le n \le 3 \le d$, then a T as in i) exists. In particular, the quadratic part of f vanishes somewhere on $K^n \setminus \{0\}^n$, namely on the last column of T.

iii) If $d = 2 \le n \le 3$, then a T as in i) exists, if and only if the quadratic part of f vanishes somewhere on $K^n \setminus \{0\}^n$.

iv) If ∇f has linear part x and a T as in i) exists, then

$$T^{-1}(T^{\mathrm{t}})^{-1}\mathcal{H}\big(f(Tx)\big) = \mathcal{J}\big(T^{-1}(\nabla f)|_{x=Tx}\big) = T^{-1}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=Tx}T$$

is lower triangular, i.e. all entries above the diagonal are zero.

The following corollary shows that the Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps in dimension three.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume F is a Keller map with symmetric Jacobian over a field of characteristic zero in dimension $n \le 3$. Then F is invertible. If additionally the linear part of F is the identity map, then $\mathcal{J}F$ is linearly triangularizable over K and hence unipotent. Furthermore, K^n contains a nontrivial isotropic vector, i.e. a vector v such that $v^{\mathrm{t}}v = 0$, if additionally* $\deg F \ge 2$.

Proof. The cases $n = 1$ and $\deg F = 1$ are trivial, so let $\deg F \ge 2 \le n \le 3$. By Poincaré's lemma, we have $F = \nabla f$ for some $f \in K[x]$. Hence by i) and ii) of theorem 2.1, F is invertible. If the linear part of F is the identity map, then the quadratic part of f is $\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)$ and K^n has a nonzero isotropic vector on account of ii) of theorem 2.1. Furthermore, $\mathcal{J}F$ is linearly triangularizable over K on account of iv) of theorem 2.1. $\square$

In order to prove our main theorem, we use the following theorem, which we prove in section 3.

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and suppose that $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, such that* $\det \mathcal{H}f \ne 0$. *If $n \le 3$, then there exists a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and a weight function $0 < w(x_1) \le w(x_2) \le \cdots \le w(x_n)$ such that the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ is nonzero.*

Here, the w-leading part of a polynomial g consists of terms $cx_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}\cdots x_n^{a_n}$ of g for which $a_1w(x_1) + a_2w(x_2) + \cdots + a_nw(x_n)$ is maximum among those terms with $c \ne 0$.

The below example shows that the above theorem cannot be extended to dimensions larger than three.

Example 2.4. Let $n \ge 4$ and

$$f := x_1x_2 + tx_1x_2^2 + (x_2 + x_1x_3)^3 + x_1^4(1 + x_4) + (x_5^7 + \cdots + x_n^{n+2})$$

Then $\det \mathcal{H} f = tg$, where

$$g = -\tfrac{1}{450}(n+1)!\,(n+2)!\,x_1^9(x_2 + x_1x_3)x_5^5 \cdots x_n^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \{0\}$$

In particular,

$$\det \mathcal{H}(f|_{t=0}) = 0 \neq g = \det \mathcal{H}(f|_{t=1})$$

Consequently, for each $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and each $(w(x_1), w(x_2), \ldots, w(x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}^n$, the w-leading part of $f(Tx)|_{t=0}$ has Hessian determinant zero. We will show in section 3 that the same holds for $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$, although its Hessian determinant is nonzero. Hence the condition $n \leq 3$ in theorem 2.3 is necessary.

This example was inspired by formula (9) in [dBvdE1, Th. 3.5], and $f(x)|_{t=0}$ is of the form of this formula in dimension $n = 4$.

As announced, we will use results of [dBvdE1] in our proof. These results are used in the proof of theorem 2.3, and are as follows.

Theorem 2.5. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and suppose that $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ has no terms of degree less than two, such that* $\det \mathcal{H} f = 0$*. If $n \leq 3$, then there exists a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ as in i) of theorem 2.1.*

i) If $n = 2$, then $f \in K[l_1]$ for some linear form $l_1 \in K[x]$.

ii) If $n = 3$, then either $f \in K[l_1, l_2]$ for some linear forms $l_1, l_2 \in K[x]$, or $f = g_1(l_1)x_1 + g_2(l_1)x_2 + g_3(l_1)x_3$ for some linear form $l_1 \in K[x]$ and polynomials $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in K[x_1]$. Furthermore, the leading homogeneous part of f is of the form $l_1^{\deg f - 1} l_4$ for some linear form $l_4 \in K[x]$ in the latter case.

Proof. Since there is nothing to be done when $n = 1$, the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ remain.

i) Asssume that $n = 2$. [dBvdE1, Th. 3.1] tells us that there exist a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $T^{-1}f(Tx) \in K[x_1]$. Hence T is as in i) of theorem 2.1 and we can take $l = T^{-1}x$.

ii) Assume that $n = 3$. [dBvdE1, Th. 3.3] tells us that there exist a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $T^{-1}f(Tx) \in K[x_1, x_2]$ or $T^{-1}f(Tx)$ is of the form $a_1(x_1) + a_2(x_1)x_2 + a_2(x_3)x_3$ for polynomials a_1, a_2, a_3. Again, T is as in i) of theorem 2.1 and we can take $l = T^{-1}x$. Then $f = a_1(l_1) + a_2(l_1)l_2 + a_3(l_1)l_3$ in case $f \notin K[l_1, l_2]$.

Since f, $a_2(l_1)l_2$ and $a_3(l_1)l_3$ have no constant terms, we see that $l_1 \mid a_1(l_1)$, and we get that $f = b_1(l_1)l_1 + b_2(l_1)l_2 + b_3(l_1)l_3$ for polynomials b_1, b_2, b_3. But each of the linear forms l_1, l_2, l_3 is a linear combination of x_1, x_2, x_3, whence $f = g_1(l_1)x_1 + g_2(l_1)x_2 + g_3(l_1)x_3$ for polynomials g_1, g_2, g_3.

If c_1, c_2, c_3 are the coefficients of degree $\deg f - 1$ of g_1, g_2, g_3 respectively, then the leading homogeneous part of f is equal to $l_1^{\deg f - 1}(c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + c_3x_3)$, which is as given with $l_4 = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + c_3x_3$. □

The case $n \leq 3$ of theorem 2.6 below is needed to complete the proof of ii) of our main theorem. The general case might still be interesting, e.g. because it is used to prove i) of corollary 2.7 which follows theorem 2.6. Theorem 2.6 is proved in section 3.

Theorem 2.6. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and suppose that $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, such that there exists a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and a weight function $w(x_1) \leq w(x_2) \leq \cdots \leq w(x_n)$, for which the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ has a nontrivial constant term. Then the weighted degree of f with respect to w is $w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$ for each $i \leq n$.*

Furthermore, if additionally $0 < w(x_1)$, and either K is algebraically closed or

$$\deg_{x_{\lfloor n/2\rfloor},\ldots,x_{\lceil n/2\rceil+1}} f(Tx) \geq 3$$

then T can be chosen as in i) of theorem 2.1, i.e. all entries below the anti-diagonal of the Hessian of $f(Tx)$ are zero.

Corollary 2.7. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and suppose that $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.*

- *i) If there exists a weight function $0 \leq w(x_1) < w(x_2) < \cdots < w(x_n)$, for which the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ has a nontrivial constant term, then T is as in i) of theorem 2.1, i.e. all entries below the anti-diagonal of the Hessian of $f(Tx)$ are zero.*

- *ii) If $\det \mathcal{H}f \in K^*$ and T can be chosen as in i), then the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ is nonzero in case $d \geq \deg f$ and*

$$w(x_i) = \begin{cases} d^{i-1} & \text{if } i \leq n/2+1, \\ d^{\lceil n/2\rceil-1} + d^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} - d^{n-i} & \text{if } i > n/2+1, \end{cases}$$

  for each $i \leq n$. Furthermore, we have $0 < w(x_1) < w(x_2) < \cdots < w(x_n)$ and the Hessian of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ is anti-diagonal.

Proof.

- i) Suppose that a w as given exists. On account of theorem 2.6, the weighted degree of $f(Tx)$ with respect to w is $w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i}) < w(x_i) + w(x_j)$ when $1 \leq n+1-i < j \leq n$. Hence the entries (i,j) of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ below the anti-diagonal have negative degrees with respect to w. Since $w(x_i) \geq 0$ for all i, all entries below the anti-diagonal of the Hessian of $f(Tx)$ are zero.

- ii) Notice first that by construction, $w(x_i) = d^{\lceil n/2\rceil-1} + d^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} - d^{n-i}$ for each $i \geq n/2$, and that $0 < w(x_1) < w(x_2) < \cdots < w(x_n)$ and

$$w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i}) = d^{\lceil n/2\rceil-1} + d^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}$$

  for each $i \leq n$. Since $\det \mathcal{H}f(Tx) \in K^*$, all anti-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ are nonzero constants by assumption on T, and the weighted degree of $f(Tx)$ with respect to w is at least $d^{\lceil n/2\rceil-1} + d^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}$.

Let t be a term of $f(Tx)$. If t is not divisible by x_i for some $i > n/2$, then the weighted degree of t with respect to w is at most that of $x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^d$, which is $d \cdot d^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} = d^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. Thus the weighted degree of t with respect to w is not enough for the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ in this case. So assume that t is divisible by x_i for some $i > n/2$.

If t is divisible by $x_i x_{n+1-i}$, then the weighted degree of t with respect to w is at least $w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$. Since all anti-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ are nonzero constants, $t = c x_i x_{n+1-i}$ for some $c \in K^*$ in this case, and either the entries $(i, n+1-i) \ne (n+1-i, i)$ on the anti-diagonal of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ are c or the entry $(i, n+1-i) = (n+1-i, i)$ on the anti-diagonal of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ is $2c$.

If t is not divisible by $x_i x_{n+1-i}$, then the weighted degree of t with respect to w at most that of $x_i x_{n-i}^{d-1}$, which is less than $d \cdot w(x_{n-i}) + w(x_i) = w(x_{n+1-i}) + w(x_i)$ because $n - i \le n/2$. Thus the weighted degree of t with respect to w is not enough for the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ in this case. Hence exactly the terms $c x_i x_{n+1-i}$ of $f(Tx)$ contribute to the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$, which has weighted degree $d^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} + d^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. with respect to w. This gives the desired results. $\square$

Proof of theorem 2.1.

i) There is nothing to prove when $\det \mathcal{H}f = 0$, so assume $\det \mathcal{H}f \in K^*$. Let g be the quadratic part of f and $\bar{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K. Then $\det \mathcal{H}g = \det \mathcal{H}f \in K^*$ and there exists a $T^* \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{K})$, such that $g(T^*x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)$. Since $g(T^*x)$ is the quadratic part of $f(T^*x)$, the linear part of $\nabla(f(T^*x))$ is equal to x, and $\nabla(f(T^*x))$ is invertible over $\bar{K}$ on account of iv) of theorem 2.1. By (1), the inverse of ∇f over $\bar{K}$ is

$$T^* \Big(\nabla \big(f(T^*x) \big)^{\circ(-1)} \Big) \Big|_{x = (T^*)^{\mathrm{t}} x}$$

By [vdE, Prop. 1.1.7], ∇f is invertible over K as well.

ii) Suppose that $2 \le n \le 3 \le d$. The case $\det \mathcal{H}f = 0$ follows from theorem 2.5, so assume that $\det \mathcal{H}f \ne 0$. By theorem 2.3, there exists a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and a weight function $0 < w(x_1) \le w(x_2) \le \cdots \le w(x_n)$ such that the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)$ is nonzero. Since

$$\deg_{x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}, \ldots, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1}} f(Tx) = \deg f(Tx) = d \ge 3$$

it follows from theorem 2.6 that T can be chosen as in i). Hence $f(Tx)$ has no term which is divisible by x_n^2. This gives the desired result.

iii) Suppose that $d = 2 \le n \le 3$ and let g be the quadratic part of f. By $d = 2$ we have $\mathcal{H}g(Tx) = \mathcal{H}f(Tx)$. Notice that for each $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, g vanishes on the last column of T, if and only if the lower right corner of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx) = \mathcal{H}g(Tx)$ is zero. This gives the case $n = 2$ and the 'only if'-part of the case $n = 3$.

So assume that $n = 3$ and that g vanishes on the last column of $T^* \in \mathrm{GL}_3(K)$. Then the coefficient of x_3^2 of $g(Tx)$ is zero. Let c and c' be the coefficients of x_1x_3 and x_2x_3 of $g(T^*x)$ respectively. If $c' = 0$, then we can take $T = T^*$. Otherwise, we can take

$$T := T^* \begin{pmatrix} c & c' & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

This gives the 'if'-part of the case $n = 3$.

iv) Assume that ∇f has linear part x and a T as in i) exists. Let $\reflectbox{$\mathrm{I}$}$ be the matrix of size $n \times n$ with ones on the anti-diagonal and zeroes elsewhere. Since the Jacobian of $\nabla f(Tx)$ is zero below the anti-diagonal, the Jacobian of $\reflectbox{$\mathrm{I}$}\nabla f(Tx)$ is zero above the diagonal. Hence there exists a lower triangular $T^* \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that the linear part of $T^*\reflectbox{$\mathrm{I}$}\nabla f(Tx)$ is equal to x and the Jacobian of $T^*\reflectbox{$\mathrm{I}$}\nabla f(T^*x)$ is lower triangular. Since ∇f has linear part x as well and

$$T^*\reflectbox{I}\nabla\big(f(Tx)\big) = T^*\reflectbox{I}T^{\mathrm{t}}(\nabla f)|_{x=Tx}$$

it follows that $T^*\reflectbox{$\mathrm{I}$}T^{\mathrm{t}} = T^{-1}$. $\square$

3 Proofs of theorems 2.3 and 2.6

Proof of theorem 2.3. Let $d = \deg f$. Then $d \geq 2$ because $\det \mathcal{H}f \neq 0$. Since the cases $n = 1$ and $d = 2$ are trivial, we assume that $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$. Suppose that a T and a w as in theorem 2.3 do not exist. Let $\bar{f}$ be the w-leading part of f and r be the (regular) degree of $\bar{f}$ with respect to x_2.

Assume first that $0 < w(x_1) = w(x_2) = \cdots = w(x_n)$. On account of ii) of theorem 2.5, $\bar{f}$ is either a polynomial in $K[l_1, l_2]$ or of the form $l_1^{d-1}l_4$ for some linear forms $l_1, l_4 \in K[x]$. By replacing f by $f(Tx)$ for a suitable $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, we obtain $\bar{f} \in K[x_1, x_2]$. Now choose T such that $\bar{f} \in K[x_1, x_2]$ and x_1 is a linear form which divides $\bar{f}$ at least as many times as any other linear form.

So we may assume that $0 < w(x_1) \leq w(x_2) = \cdots = w(x_3)$, that $\bar{f}$ has (regular) degree greater than two, and that x_1 divides the leading homogeneous part of $\bar{f}$ at least as many times as any other linear form. In particular $\bar{f} \in K[x_1, x_2]$ is degenerate, if and only if $r = 0$. We distinguish three cases.

- $r \geq 2$.
  Notice that $\bar{f} \in K[x_1, x_2]$ is nondegenerate without terms of degree one. By i) of theorem 2.5, we have $n = 3$. Now increase $w(x_3)$ until $\bar{f}$ gets another term besides those it already has. If this fails, then $f \in K[x_1, x_2]$, which contradicts $\det \mathcal{H}f \neq 0$. So we do get another term in $\bar{f}$, and this term is divisible by x_3. If we get $c'x_3$ as a term for some $c' \in K^*$, then $f - c'x_3 \in K[x_1, x_2]$, which contradicts $\det \mathcal{H}f \neq 0$. If we do not get a

term $c'x_3$ for any $c' \in K^*$, then we get term of degree greater than one with x_3.

Since $\bar{f} \in K[x_1, x_2]$ was nondegenerate with $w(x_1) \le w(x_2) = w(x_3)$, $\bar{f} \in K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ stays nondegenerate, but with $w(x_1) \le w(x_2) < w(x_3)$. On account of ii) of theorem 2.5, $\bar{f}$ becomes of the form $g_1(l_1)x_1 + g_2(l_1)x_2 + g_3(l_1)x_3$ with l_1 a linear form. Furthermore, the (regular) degree of g_2 is larger than that of g_3, thus the leading homogeneous part of $\bar{f}$ stays the same. Since $\bar{f}$ still has (regular) degree greater than two and x_1 divides the leading homogeneous part of $\bar{f}$ at least as many times as any other linear form, we can take $l_1 = x_1$. This contradicts the fact that $r \ge 2$ is maintained.

- $r = 1$.
  Notice that $\bar{f}$ has a term $c'x_1^s x_2$ with $c' \in K^*$. If $s = 0$, then $f - c'x_2 \in K[x_1]$, which contradicts $\det \mathcal{H}f \ne 0$. Thus $s \ge 1$. By i) of theorem 2.5 and the fact that $\bar{f}$ has (regular) degree greater than two, we have $n = 3$. If $s = 1$, then $f - c''x_3 \in K[x_1, x_2]$ for some $c'' \in K$, which contradicts $\det \mathcal{H}f \ne 0$. Hence $s \ge 2$.

  Now increase $w(x_2) = w(x_3)$ until $\bar{f}$ gets another term besides $c'x_1^s x_2$ (any term cx_1^d of $\bar{f}$ will vanish). Such a term must be divisible by x_2^2, x_2x_3 or x_3^2, and we will reach such a term because $\det \mathcal{H}f \ne 0$. Since the leading homogeneous part of $\bar{f}$ becomes $cx_1^s x_2$, it follows from ii) of theorem 2.5 that $\bar{f}$ does not get any term which is divisible by x_3. Hence we obtain the case $r \ge 2$ above.

- $r = 0$.
  Then $\bar{f} = cx_1^d$ for some $c \in K^*$. Now increase $w(x_2) = \cdots = w(x_n)$ until $\bar{f}$ gets another term besides cx_1^d. If $\bar{f}$ becomes of the form $g_1(x_1)x_1 + g_2(x_1)x_2 + \cdots + g_n(x_1)x_n$, then $0 < w(x_1) \le w(x_2) = \cdots = w(x_n)$ tells us that $g_1(x_1) = cx_1^{d-1}$, $g_2(x_1) = c'x_1^s$ and $g_n(x_1) = c''x_1^s$ for some $c, c', c'' \in K$ and an $s < d$, and we can reach the above case $r = 1$ if we replace f by $f(Tx)$ for a suitable $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

  So assume that $\bar{f}$ does not reach the form $g_1(x_1)x_1 + g_2(x_1)x_2 + \cdots + g_n(x_1)x_n$. By ii) of theorem 2.5, $\bar{f} \in K[l_1, l_2]$ for some linear forms $l_1, l_2 \in K$. Since cx_1^d stays the unique term of highest (regular) degree of $\bar{f}$, we may take $l_1 = x_1$, and the degree with respect to l_2 of $\bar{f}$ gets at least two because $\bar{f}$ did not become of the form $g_1(x_1)x_1 + g_2(x_1)x_2 + \cdots + g_n(x_1)x_n$. Hence we can reach the above case $r \ge 2$ if we replace f by $f(Tx)$ for a suitable $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. $\square$

Proof of example 2.4. Take $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and define $l_i = T_i x$ for each $i \le n$. Then

$$f(Tx) = l_1 l_2 + t l_1 l_2^2 + (l_2 + l_1 l_3)^3 + l_1^4(1 + l_4) + (l_5^7 + \cdots + l_n^{n+2})$$

Let $(w(x_1), w(x_2), \ldots, w(x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that the Hessian determinant of the w-leading part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$ is nonzero. We shall show that $w(x_i) = 0$ for all $i \le n$.

Let $\deg_w g$ denote the weighted degree of g with respect to w. If $\deg_w(l_1 l_2^2) < \deg_w(f(Tx)|_{t=1})$, then the w-leading part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$ is the same as that of $f(Tx)|_{t=0}$, which contradicts that its Hessian determinant is nonzero. Thus

$$\deg_w(l_1 l_2^2) \geq \deg_w \left(f(Tx)|_{t=1}\right) \tag{4}$$

We distinguish five cases.

- $0 > \deg_w(l_2)$.
  Then $\deg_w(l_1 l_2) > \deg_w(l_1 l_2^2)$. Since $l_1 l_2$ is the quadratic part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$, we have a contradiction with (4).

- $0 \leq \deg_w(l_2) > \deg_w(l_1)$.
  Then $\deg_w(l_2^3) > \deg_w(l_1 l_2^2)$. Since $l_1 l_2^2 + l_2^3$ is the cubic part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$, we have a contradiction with (4).

- $0 \leq \deg_w(l_2) \leq \deg_w(l_1)$ and $\deg_w(l_3) > 0$.
  Then $\deg_w(l_1^3 l_3^3) > \deg_w(l_1 l_2^2)$. Since $l_1^3 l_3^3$ is the part of degree six of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$, we have a contradiction with (4).

- $0 \leq \deg_w(l_2) \leq \deg_w(l_1) > \deg_w(l_3) \leq 0$.
  Then

  $$\deg_w(l_1^4) > \deg_w \left(3l_1 l_2^2 l_3 + 3l_1^2 l_2 l_3^2 + l_1^3 l_3^3\right) = \deg_w \left((l_2 + l_1 l_3)^3 - l_2^3\right)$$

  Since $l_1^4 + 3l_1 l_2^2 l_3$ is the part of degree four of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$, we do not have l_3 in the w-leading part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$. Contradiction.

- $0 \leq \deg_w(l_2) \leq \deg_w(l_1) \leq \deg_w(l_3) \leq 0$.
  Then $\deg_w(l_i) = 0$ for each $i \leq 3$. If $\deg_w(l_4) > 0$, then $\deg_w(l_1^4 l_4) > \deg_w((l_2 + l_1 l_3)^3)$ and just as in the case above, we do not have l_3 in the w-leading part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$. Thus $\deg_w(l_4) \leq 0$ and similarly, $\deg_w(l_i) \leq 0$ for all $i \geq 5$.

  Thus $\deg_w(l_i) \leq 0$ for all i, and consequently $w(x_i) \leq 0$ for all i as well. If there exists an i such that $w(x_i) < 0$, then by $\deg_w(f(Tx)|_{t=1}) = \deg_w(l_1 l_2) = 0$, we do not have x_i in the w-leading part of $f(Tx)|_{t=1}$. So $w(x_i) = 0$ for all i, as desired. □

Proof of theorem 2.6. Let $\deg_w g$ denote the weighted degree of g with respect to w. We first show that $\deg_w f(Tx) = w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$ for each $i \leq n$. So assume that there exists an $i \leq n$ such that $\deg_w f(Tx) \neq w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$. We assume that $\deg_w f(Tx) > w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$, because the case $\deg_w f(Tx) < w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$ is similar (even slightly easier).

Notice that $\deg_w f(Tx) > \deg_w(x_i x_{n+1-i})$. Since $w(x_1) \leq w(x_2) \leq \cdots \leq w(x_i)$, we have $\deg_w f(Tx) > \deg_w(x_j x_k)$ for all $j \leq i$ and all $k \leq n+1-i$. It follows that the w-leading part $\tilde{f}$ of $f(Tx)$ has no terms of the form $c x_j x_k$, with $c \in K^*$, $j \leq i$ and $k \leq n+1-i$. Therefore, the entries of the rectangular submatrix of $\mathcal{H}\tilde{f}$ consisting of rows $1, 2, \ldots, n+1-i$ and columns $1, 2, \ldots, i$ have trivial constant parts. The dimensions of this submatrix add up to $n+1$, which contradicts that $\det \mathcal{H}\tilde{f}$ has a nontrivial constant part. Thus $\deg_w f(Tx) = w(x_i) + w(x_{n+1-i})$ for each $i \le n$.

In order to prove that under the given conditions, we can choose T as in i) of theorem 2.1, assume next that $0 < w(x_1)$ and choose $i' + j'$ as large as possible, such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} f(Tx) \ne 0$. If $i' + j' \le n+1$, then T is of the desired form, so suppose that $i' + j' > n+1$. Assume without loss of generality that $i' \le j'$. Then $j' \ge i > n+1-j'$ and $\deg_w f(Tx) \ge w(x_{i'}) + w(x_{j'}) \ge w(x_{n+1-j'}) + w(x_{j'}) = \deg_w f(Tx)$, whence $w(x_{n+1-j'}) = w(x_{i'})$. Now take $i, j \le n$ maximal, such that $w(x_{n+1-j}) = w(x_{n+1-j'}) = w(x_{i'}) = w(x_i)$. Then $i \ge i'$, $j \ge j'$, and $w(x_{n+1-i}) = \deg_w f(Tx) - w(x_i) = \deg_w f(Tx) - w(x_{n+1-j}) = w(x_j)$.

Thus $w(x_{n+1-j}) = w(x_i)$ and $w(x_{n+1-i}) = w(x_j)$. It follows that entries of the square submatrix M of $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$ consisting of rows $n+1-i, \ldots, j-1, j$ and columns $n+1-j, \ldots, i-1, i$ are constant. One can call M an anti-minor matrix (in analogy to a principal minor matrix), because the anti-diagonal of M lies on the anti-diagonal of $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$ (instead of that the principal diagonal of M lies on the principle diagonal of $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$).

Due to the maximality of j, the entries of the submatrix M^- of $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$ consisting of rows $j+1, \ldots, n-1, n$ and columns $n+1-j, \ldots, i-1, i$ are all zero. Let M^+ be the submatrix of $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$ consisting of rows $1, 2, \ldots, n-i$ and columns $n+1-j, \ldots, i-1, i$. Then the matrices M^+, M, M^- together stack up to columns $n+1-j, \ldots, i-1, i$ of $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$.

Write I_{n-i} and I_{n-j} for the identity matrix of size $n-i$ and $n-j$ respectively. Put $m := i + j - n$ and write $\scalebox{1}[-1]{$I_m$}$ for the matrix of size $m \times m$ with ones on the anti-diagonal and zeroes elsewhere.

It suffices to show that we can reduce the number of nonzero entries below the diagonal by linear transformation, because after that we can repeat reducing until all nonzero entries below the diagonal are gone. We distinguish two cases.

- $i > n/2$.
  Then $w(x_i) \ge w(x_{n+1-i})$, and we have $w(x_j) \ge w(x_{n+1-j}) = w(x_i) \ge w(x_{n+1-i}) = w(x_j)$. It follows that $i = j$, M is principal as a minor matrix, and
  $$\deg_{x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}, \ldots, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1}} f(Tx) = 2$$
  thus we may assume that K is algebraically closed. Since $M^- = 0$ and additionally entries at the right of the submatrices M and M^- in $\mathcal{H}(f(Tx))$ are zero, the condition $\det \mathcal{H}(f(Tx)) \ne 0$ tells us that M has full rank, just like $\scalebox{1}[-1]{$I_m$}$. Hence there exist $T^*, T' \in \mathrm{GL}_m(K)$ such that $(T^*)^{\mathrm{t}} M T^* = I_m = (T')^{\mathrm{t}} \scalebox{1}[-1]{I_m} T'$.

  Let $A \oplus B$ denote the block-diagonal matrix with blocks A and B. By (2) and $M^- = 0 = (M^-)^{\mathrm{t}}$, we can see as follows that the Hessian of
  $$f\big(T\big(I_{n-j} \oplus (T^*(T')^{-1}) \oplus I_{n-i}\big)x\big)$$
  has less nonzero entries below the anti-diagonal than $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$. Namely, if we replace $f(Tx)$ by the above, then M becomes $\scalebox{1}[-1]{$I_m$}$ as a minor matrix,

M^- and $(M^-)^{\mathrm{t}}$ stay zero as submatrices, M^+ and $(M^+)^{\mathrm{t}}$ lie above the anti-diagonal as submatrices, and the rest of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ is only affected by substitutions due to the resemblance of $I_{n-j} \oplus (T^*(T')^{-1}) \oplus I_{n-i}$ and I_n.

- $i \le n/2$.
  Notice that M and M^{t} lie below and above the principal diagonal as submatrices respectively. Just as in the previous case, M can only have full rank. Again by (2) and $M^- = 0 = (M^-)^{\mathrm{t}}$, we can see as follows that the Hessian of
  $$f\bigl(T\bigl(I_{n-j} \oplus (M^{-1}\Upsilon^{\text{ɯs}}) \oplus I_{n-i}\bigr)x\bigr)$$
  has less nonzero entries below the anti-diagonal than $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$. Namely, if we replace $f(Tx)$ by the above, then M and M^{t} become $\Upsilon^{\text{ɯs}}$ as minor matrices, M^- and $(M^-)^{\mathrm{t}}$ stay zero as submatrices, M^+ and $(M^+)^{\mathrm{t}}$ lie above the anti-diagonal as submatrices, and the rest of $\mathcal{H}f(Tx)$ is only affected by substitutions due to the resemblance of $I_{n-j} \oplus (M^{-1}\Upsilon^{\text{ɯs}}) \oplus I_{n-i}$ and I_n. $\square$

4 Anisotropic polynomials

The second claim in ii) of the main theorem, theorem 2.1, is that the quadratic part of f is so-called *isotropic over K* in case $2 \le n \le 3 \le d$. The opposite of isotropic is anisotropic. Below, the definition of anisotropic is generalized somewhat.

Definition 4.1. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. We say that f is *anisotropic over K at $\lambda \in K^n$* if the quadratic part of $f(x+\lambda)$ is anisotropic over K, i.e. does not vanish on $K^n \setminus \{0\}^n$, or equivalently, $\mu^{\mathrm{t}} H \mu \ne 0$ for all $\mu \in K^n \setminus \{0\}^n$, where

$$H = \bigl(\mathcal{H}(f|_{x=x+\lambda})\bigr)\big|_{x=0} = \bigl((\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=x+\lambda}\bigr)\big|_{x=0} = (\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\lambda}$$

In the following theorem, the cases $n \le 3$ and $K = \mathbb{R}$ are distinguished. The first case follows from our techniques, while the second case follows from the result by Pogorelov in [Pog], which was mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 4.2. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and $f \in K[x] = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $\det \mathcal{H}f \in K^*$ and f is anisotropic over K at λ for some $\lambda \in K^n$. If $n \le 3$ or $K = \mathbb{R}$, then* $\deg f = 2$.

Proof. By assumption, the quadratic part of $f(x+\lambda)$ does not vanish on $K^n \setminus \{0\}^n$. Hence $\deg f = \deg f(x+\lambda) = 2$ on account of ii) of theorem 2.1 in case $n \le 3$. So assume that $K = \mathbb{R}$.

Take $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}^n$ arbitrary. From [Ser, Cor. 3.3.1], it follows that there exists a $T_\nu \in \mathrm{GO}_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$T_\nu^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}T_\nu = T_\nu^{-1}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}T_\nu$$

is diagonal. Hence all eigenvalues of $(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}$, which are the same as those of $T_\nu^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}T_\nu$, are real. Furthermore, the eigenvalues of $(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\lambda}$ all have the same sign, because $\mu^{\mathrm{t}}T_\lambda^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\lambda}T_\lambda\mu \neq 0$ holds for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}^n$ as well as $\mu^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\lambda}\mu = 0$, which is satisfied by assumption. By replacing f by $-f$ when necessary, we may assume that all eigenvalues of $(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\lambda}$ are positive.

From $\det \mathcal{H}f \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and [Ser, Th. 3.1.2], it follows that all eigenvalues of $(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}$ are positive. Hence all eigenvalues of $T_\nu^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}T_\nu$ are positive as well, and $T_\nu^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}T_\nu$ is positive definite. Consequently, $(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}$ is positive definite as well. Since the main result of [Pog] tells us that $\deg f = 2$ in case $\det \mathcal{H}f \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $(\mathcal{H}f)|_{x=\nu}$ is positive definite for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the proof is complete. $\square$

A problem for which we do not know the answer, is whether theorem 4.2 holds for all fields K in all dimensions n. The following example is about a field that cannot be embedded into the reals.

Example 4.3. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})[x] = \mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ with quadratic part $x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + \cdots + (2n-1)x_n^2$.

i) If $\det \mathcal{H}f$ is formed by n consecutive digits of 120, then $\deg f = 2$.

ii) $x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 5x_3^2 + 10x_4^2 = 0$ does not have any nontrivial solution over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})$.

Proof. Since 120 consists of 3 digits, the condition of i) implies $n \le 3$. Hence i) follows from ii) and theorem 4.2. Notice that conversely, $n \le 3$ and $\det \mathcal{H}f \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})$ imply the condition of i).

To prove ii), assume that

$$c_1^2 + 3c_2^2 + 5c_3^2 + 10c_4^2 = 0$$

for certain $c_j \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})$ which are not all zero. Assume without loss of generality that (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) is a primitive solution of $x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 5x_3^2 + 10x_4^2 = 0$, i.e. $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{i}]$ for each j and $\gcd\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = 1$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{i}]$. The residue classes of $(2+\mathrm{i})$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{i}]$ can be represented by numbers of $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $5 = (2-\mathrm{i})(2+\mathrm{i})$, we even have $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{i}]/(2+\mathrm{i}) \cong \mathbb{F}_5$. Let $\bar{c}_j$ be the element of $\mathbb{F}_5$ which corresponds to the residue class of c_j modulo $(2+\mathrm{i})$ for each j.

From $c_1^2+3c_2^2+5c_3^2+10c_4^2 = 0$, we obtain that $\bar{c}_1^2+3\bar{c}_2^2 = \bar{0}$. But $\bar{c}_1^2 \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{4}\}$ and $3\bar{c}_2^2 \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$, thus $\bar{c}_1^2 = 3\bar{c}_2^2 = 0$ and $2+\mathrm{i}$ divides both c_1 and c_2. Similarly, $2-\mathrm{i}$ divides both c_1 and c_2. So $5 \mid c_1$ and $5 \mid c_2$, and we have

$$c_3^2 + 2c_4^2 + 5\left(\frac{c_1}{5}\right)^2 + 15\left(\frac{c_2}{5}\right)^2 = 0$$

which gives $5 \mid c_3$ and $5 \mid c_4$ in a similar manner as $c_1^2 + 3c_2^2 + 5c_3^2 + 10c_4^2 = 0$ gave $5 \mid c_1$ and $5 \mid c_2$. This contradicts $\gcd\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = 1$. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *The Jacobian conjecture holds for gradient maps over the reals whose linear part is the identity map. More precisely, the corresponding Keller maps are translations.*

Proof. Take $K = \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda = 0$ in theorem 4.2, and notice that the quadratic part of f is $\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)$ in case the linear part of ∇f is the identity map. $\square$

References

[BCW] Hyman Bass, Edwin H. Connell, and David Wright. The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 7(2):287–330, 1982.

[dBvdE1] Michiel de Bondt and Arno van den Essen. Singular Hessians. *J. Algebra*, 282(1):195–204, 2004.

[dBvdE2] Michiel de Bondt and Arno van den Essen. A reduction of the Jacobian conjecture to the symmetric case. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(8):2201–2205 (electronic), 2005.

[Cal] Eugenio Calabi. Improper affine hyperspheres of convex type and a generalization of a theorem by K. Jörgens. *Michigan Math. J.*, 5:105–126, 1958.

[Dil] Franki Dillen. Polynomials with constant Hessian determinant. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 71(1):13–18, 1991.

[vdE] Arno van den Essen. *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, volume 190 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.

[vdEW] Arno van den Essen and Sherwood Washburn. The Jacobian conjecture for symmetric Jacobian matrices. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 189(1-3):123–133, 2004.

[GN] P. Gordan and M. Nöther. Ueber die algebraischen Formen, deren Hesse'sche Determinante identisch verschwindet. *Math. Ann.*, 10(4):547–568, 1876.

[Jör] Konrad Jörgens. Über die Lösungen der Differentialgleichung $rt - s^2 = 1$. *Math. Ann.*, 127:130–134, 1954.

[Kel] Ott-Heinrich Keller. Ganze Cremona-Transformationen. *Monatsh. Math. Phys.*, 47(1):299–306, 1939.

[Men] Guowu Meng. Legendre transform, Hessian conjecture and tree formula. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 19(6):503–510, 2006.

[Pog] A. V. Pogorelov. On the improper convex affine hyperspheres. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 1(1):33–46, 1972.

[Ser] Denis Serre. *Matrices*, volume 216 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. Theory and applications, Translated from the 2001 French original.